1 McGREGOR W. SCOTT United States Attorney 2 S. ROBERT TICE-RASKIN COURTNEY J. LINN 3 Assistant U.S. Attorneys 501 I Street, Suite 10-100 Sacramento, California 95814 4 Telephone: (916) 554-2738 5 Attorneys for Plaintiff United States of America 6 7 8 9 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 10 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 11 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Case No. Mag. 05-053 PAN 12 Plaintiff, STIPULATION REGARDING EXCLUSION OF TIME UNDER 13 SPEEDY TRIAL ACT; [PROPOSED] \mathbf{v} . ORDER 14 SEREY VAN, 15 Defendant. 16 17 18 Plaintiff United States of America, by and through its 19 counsel of record, Assistant United States Attorneys S. Robert 20 Tice-Raskin and Courtney J. Linn, and defendant, by and through 21 her counsel of record, Robert Holley, hereby stipulate as 22 follows: 23 1. The Complaint in this case was filed on or about 24 February 22, 2005. 25 Defendant was arrested on February 23, 2005. Defendant 2. 26 first appeared before Magistrate Judge Peter Nowinski, a judicial 27 officer in the court in which this charge is pending, on February

28

24, 2005.

- 3. Defendant has been advised of her rights as required by Rule 5, Fed. R. Crim. P., including her right to have a preliminary hearing.
- 4. By previous stipulation and order, defendant waived her right to a preliminary hearing.
- 5. The Speedy Trial Act of 1974, 18 U.S.C. § 3161 et seq., requires that an indictment or information be filed against defendant on or before 30 days of her arrest, i.e., on or before March 26, 2005. By previous stipulation and order, defendant moved to extend the deadline for filing of charges to April 29, 2005.
- 6. By this stipulation, defendant moves to extend the deadline for filing of charges to May 27, 2005. Plaintiff does not oppose these requests.
- 7. The parties agree and stipulate, and request that the Court find the following:
- a) The government has made a package plea offer to defendant and her spouse, Thomas Van. Counsel for defendant desires additional time to consult with his client, to review the current charges, to conduct investigation related to the charges, to review discovery, and to review and discuss the potential pre-indictment disposition of the charges with the government. (Thomas Van is in the process of obtaining representation and will need adequate time to address to conduct these tasks as well with his counsel).
 - b) Counsel for defendant believes that failure to

2

1

3

4 5

6 7

9 10

8

11 12

13 14

15 16

17

18 19

20

21

22 23

//

//

//

//

//

24

25

26

27

28

grant the above-requested extension would deny him the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence.

- The government does not object to the continuance. C)
- Counsel for defendant has specifically discussed d) all of the contents of this stipulation with his client and represents that his client concurs with the contents of this stipulation.
- Based on the above-stated findings, the ends of e) justice served by continuing the case as requested outweigh the interest of the public and the defendant in a trial within the original dates prescribed by the Speedy Trial Act.
- For the purpose of computing time under the Speedy f) Trial Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3161, et seq., within which an information or indictment must be filed, the time period from April 29, 2005 to May 27, 2005, inclusive, is deemed excludable pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(8)(A) and B(iv) because it results from a continuance granted by the judge at defendant's request on the basis of the judge's finding that the ends of justice served by taking such action outweigh the best interests of the public and //

TRUONG, ESQ. FAX NO. :9163999610 Apr. 20 2005 02:48PM P6 CASE 2:05 mj-00053-PAN Document 20 Filed 04/25/3599610 ge 4 of 4 P:6/6 the defendant in speedy formal charging. IT IS SO STIPULATED. DATED: 4 Assistant United States Attorney ROBERT HOLLEY Counsel for Defendant DRDER IT IS SO FOUND AND CRDERED. 22 , 2005.